Application No. Applicant(s) 10/764 336 STEINBERG ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit AARON W. CARTER 2624 All Participants: Status of Application: (3) _____. (1) AARON W. CARTER. (2) Andrew V. Smith (Reg. No. 43,132). (4) _____. Date of Interview: 13 March 2009 Time: ____ Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Potential 35 USC 101 and 112(2nd) rejections Claims discussed: Claims 1, 27 and 44 Prior art documents discussed: n/a Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Aaron W Carter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/764,336

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and the Applicant's representative discussed the potential 112(2nd) rejection of claims 1, 27 and 44, as well as, the potential 101 rejection of claim 44. The Applicant's agreed to an Examiner's amendment resolving the potential issues and placing the application into condition for allowance.